IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 5643 of 2005

Between:

Syed Sadak, S/o. Syed Kahder Hussain,
H.No.18-8-104/71, Edi Bazar, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Chairman and Managing Director, A.P.Central Power Distribution & Co.Ltd, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer(Operation) Electrical Metropolitan Zone, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
3 The Superintending Engineer (South), A.P.Central Power Distribution Co.Ltd, Operation, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
4 The Divisional Engineer, Electrical, A.P.Central Power Distribution Co.Ltd, Operation, Rural North, Santoshnagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, to declare the action of the respondents in not considering the representation of the petitioner dt.14.12.2004 as arbitrary, unjust, discriminatory and against to principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Junior Lineman on par with the other juniors who were already appointed, and to grant such other relief or reliefs.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MRK.JOSEPH

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: MR.KISHORE SAMINENI (SC FOR AP TRANSCO)

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Seeking to declare the action of the respondents in not considering the representation of the petitioner dt.14-12-2004 as arbitrary and illegal, the present writ petition is filed.

As seen from the representation, the petitioner requested the respondents to re-examine his case. The petitioner has not filed the rejection order passed by the respondents.

The only remedy for the petitioner is to challenge the said rejection order. Therefore, the question of considering the representation does not arise.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

18-03-2005

rkk

To

1 The Chairman and Managing Director, A.P.Central Power Distribution & Co.Ltd, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer(Operation) Electrical Metropolitan Zone, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
3 The Superintending Engineer (South), A.P.Central Power Distribution Co.Ltd, Operation, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
4 The Divisional Engineer, Electrical, A.P.Central Power Distribution Co.Ltd, Operation, Rural North, Santoshnagar, Hyderabad.

5. Two CD copies.